- 11		ì
1	Michael D. Palmer, pro hac vice	Dayna Chmelka (CA Bar # 187902)
	SANFORD HEISLER SHARP, LLP	GATES, O'DOHERTY, GONTER & GUY LLP
2	1350 Avenue of the Americas, 31st Floor	15373 Innovation Drive, Suite 170
3	New York, NY 10019	San Diego, CA 92128 Telephone: (858) 676-8600
	Telephone: (646) 402-5653 Facsimile: (646) 402-5651	Facsimile: (858) 676-8601
4	Email: mpalmer@sanfordheisler.com	Email: dchmelka@gogglaw.com
5		E-FILED
6	Qiaojing Zheng (CA Bar #294608)	3/2/2018 9:29 AM
	SANFORD HEISLER SHARP, LLP	Clerk of Court
7	111 Sutter Street, Suite 975 San Francisco, CA 94104	Superior Court of CA,
8	Telephone: (415) 795-2020	County of Santa Clara
	Facsimile: (415) 795-2021	16CV290847
9	Email: qzheng@sanfordheisler.com	Reviewed By: R. Walker
10	Augument Com District Committee and the Class	Envelope: 1271442
	Attorneys for Plaintiffs and the Class	
11		URT OF CALIFORNIA
12	COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA	
13	UNLIMITE	DJURISDICTION
	<u>L</u>	
14		
	TVMINIUA ALICTIN RONNED	Case No. 116-CV-290847
15	TYMUOI HA, AUSTIN BONNER, DAVID RABIL, and RAYMOND	
15	DAVID RABIL, and RAYMOND ROBERTS, on behalf of themselves and all	(PROPOSED) ORDER ENTERING
_	DAVID RABIL, and RAYMOND	[PROPOSED] ORDER ENTERING JUDGMENT AFTER FINAL APPROVAL
15	DAVID RABIL, and RAYMOND ROBERTS, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,	[PROPOSED] ORDER ENTERING JUDGMENT AFTER FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS SETTLEMENT
15 16 17	DAVID RABIL, and RAYMOND ROBERTS, on behalf of themselves and all	[PROPOSED] ORDER ENTERING JUDGMENT AFTER FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS SETTLEMENT ASSIGNED FOR ALL PURPOSES TO JUDGE
15 16 17 18	DAVID RABIL, and RAYMOND ROBERTS, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,	[PROPOSED] ORDER ENTERING JUDGMENT AFTER FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS SETTLEMENT
15 16 17	DAVID RABIL, and RAYMOND ROBERTS, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, v.	[PROPOSED] ORDER ENTERING JUDGMENT AFTER FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS SETTLEMENT ASSIGNED FOR ALL PURPOSES TO JUDGE
15 16 17 18	DAVID RABIL, and RAYMOND ROBERTS, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs,	[PROPOSED] ORDER ENTERING JUDGMENT AFTER FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS SETTLEMENT ASSIGNED FOR ALL PURPOSES TO JUDGE
15 16 17 18 19 20	DAVID RABIL, and RAYMOND ROBERTS, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, v. GOOGLE INC. and URPAN TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,	[PROPOSED] ORDER ENTERING JUDGMENT AFTER FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS SETTLEMENT ASSIGNED FOR ALL PURPOSES TO JUDGE
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DAVID RABIL, and RAYMOND ROBERTS, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, v. GOOGLE INC. and URPAN	[PROPOSED] ORDER ENTERING JUDGMENT AFTER FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS SETTLEMENT ASSIGNED FOR ALL PURPOSES TO JUDGE
15 16 17 18 19 20	DAVID RABIL, and RAYMOND ROBERTS, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, v. GOOGLE INC. and URPAN TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,	[PROPOSED] ORDER ENTERING JUDGMENT AFTER FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS SETTLEMENT ASSIGNED FOR ALL PURPOSES TO JUDGE
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	DAVID RABIL, and RAYMOND ROBERTS, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, v. GOOGLE INC. and URPAN TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,	[PROPOSED] ORDER ENTERING JUDGMENT AFTER FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS SETTLEMENT ASSIGNED FOR ALL PURPOSES TO JUDGE
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	DAVID RABIL, and RAYMOND ROBERTS, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, v. GOOGLE INC. and URPAN TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,	[PROPOSED] ORDER ENTERING JUDGMENT AFTER FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS SETTLEMENT ASSIGNED FOR ALL PURPOSES TO JUDGE
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	DAVID RABIL, and RAYMOND ROBERTS, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, v. GOOGLE INC. and URPAN TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,	[PROPOSED] ORDER ENTERING JUDGMENT AFTER FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS SETTLEMENT ASSIGNED FOR ALL PURPOSES TO JUDGE
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	DAVID RABIL, and RAYMOND ROBERTS, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, v. GOOGLE INC. and URPAN TECHNOLOGIES, INC., Defendants.	[PROPOSED] ORDER ENTERING JUDGMENT AFTER FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS SETTLEMENT ASSIGNED FOR ALL PURPOSES TO JUDGE
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	DAVID RABIL, and RAYMOND ROBERTS, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, v. GOOGLE INC. and URPAN TECHNOLOGIES, INC., Defendants.	[PROPOSED] ORDER ENTERING JUDGMENT AFTER FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS SETTLEMENT ASSIGNED FOR ALL PURPOSES TO JUDGE

WHEREAS, Tymuoi Ha, Austin Bonner, David Rabil, and Raymond Roberts (the "Plaintiffs" or "Class Representatives") brought wage and hour claims against Google, Inc. (now known as Google LLC, hereafter "Google") and Urpan Technologies, Inc. ("UrpanTech") on behalf of themselves and other similarly situated individuals. (Google and UrpanTech are together referred to as "Defendants," and collectively with Plaintiffs, the "Parties.")

WHEREAS, Defendants deny any wrongdoing, fault, violation of law, or liability for damages of any sort.

WHEREAS, on May 23, 2017, the Parties entered into a Joint Stipulation of Class Settlement and Release ("Settlement Agreement," "Settlement," or "Agreement") to resolve the wage and hour claims on a class-wide basis. The Settlement provides that Defendants will make a non-reversionary payment of \$5,500,000.00 to settle the class claims.

WHEREAS, on June 20, 2017, the Court preliminarily approved the Settlement, deeming it to be a fair and reasonable result for the Class. In granting preliminary approval, the Court provisionally certified the Class, appointed Plaintiffs Ha, Bonner, Rabil, and Roberts as Class Representatives and Plaintiffs' Counsel (Sanford Heisler Sharp, LLP and Gates, O'Doherty, Gonter & Guy LLP) as Class Counsel, approved RG/2 Claims Administration LLC as the Settlement Administrator, and approved the distribution of notice to all Class Members.

WHEREAS, the Settlement Administrator has certified that the Court-approved Notice of Settlement of Class Action was distributed to Class Members as approved by the Court.

WHEREAS, no Class Member submitted a written objection to the Settlement, and one Class Member (Michelle Goddard) opted out of the Settlement.

WHEREAS, on January 5, 2018, Plaintiffs filed a Motion for Final Approval of Class Settlement and a Motion for Attorneys' Fees, Reimbursement of Expenses, and Service Awards (the "Motions"), and no party opposed the Motions.

WHEREAS, on February 2, 2018, the Court conducted a Final Approval Hearing, and no Class Member objected to the Settlement.

WHEREAS, on February 7, 2018, the Court issued an Order approving the Motions, granting final approval of the Settlement and final certification of the provisionally certified Class for settlement purposes (the "Final Fairness Hearing Order").

WHEREAS, this Order incorporates the Court's findings and conclusions set forth in its Final Fairness Hearing Order.

THE COURT NOW HEREBY ORDERS AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. Plaintiffs' Motion for Final Approval of Class Settlement and Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorneys' Fees, Reimbursement of Expenses, and Service Awards are hereby GRANTED in their entirety. The Settlement is HEREBY APPROVED in its entirety. The terms of the Settlement Agreement are incorporated, and made part of this Order, as if copied herein, and shall be effective, implemented, and enforced as provided in the Settlement Agreement. The Parties to the Settlement are directed to effectuate its terms.
- 2. Pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure Section 382, California Rule of Court 3.769, and/or other applicable laws, the following Class is granted final certification for settlement purposes:

All persons who worked for Google in California as temporary or contract sourcers, closers, recruiters, or other personnel who performed substantially the same work as workers with those titles or in those roles in Google's People Operations department (including, without limitation, temporary workers assigned to the Channels organization) ("Contract Recruiters") for at least one day between January 27, 2012 and May 9, 2017.

- 3. By her timely opt-out request, Michelle Goddard is excluded from the Class and is thus not a Class Member and not bound by the terms of the Settlement.
- 4. The Court finds that the Class Settlement payment process provided in the Settlement is fair and reasonable, and the Court ORDERS the Parties and the Settlement Administrator to consummate the Settlement and distribute Class Settlement Payments pursuant to the terms of the Settlement.

- 5. No later than thirty (30) calendar days after the date of this Order, Defendants shall provide, via wire transfer, to the Settlement Administrator \$5,500,000.00, plus the employer's share of payroll taxes.
- 6. The Court finds that the Settlement reasonably settles the penalties under the California Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 ("PAGA") for \$100,000.00 and ORDERS that three-quarters of this amount (\$75,000.00) be paid to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency.
- 7. Class Counsel are awarded \$1,833,333.00 for fees and \$45,594.13 for litigation costs. It is further ORDERED that \$20,000.00 shall be set aside to compensate RG/2 Claims Administration LLC, the appointed Settlement Administrator
- 8. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff Tymuoi Ha will receive a service award of \$25,000.00 and that Plaintiffs Austin Bonner, David Rabil, and Raymond Roberts will each receive service awards of \$5,000.00.
- 9. The Court approves the proposed cy pres recipient identified in the Settlement and finds that the distribution of residual monies to Legal Aid at Work is an "equitable cy pres remedy" and "better serve[s]... the interest of the class" than the default distribution proposed in California Code of Civil Procedure § 384.
- 10. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that if there is no appeal of this Order, the Effective Date of this Settlement will be sixty-five (65) calendar days after the entry of the Order. If there is an appeal of this Order, the Effective Date of this Settlement will be ten (10) business days after the appeal is finally withdrawn, dismissed, or denied with prejudice.
- 11. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that upon the Effective Date of this Settlement, each Class Member will fully release and discharge the Released Parties¹ from any and all claims arising at any point from January 27, 2012 until June 20, 2017, which arise out of the same transactions, series of

Per the Settlement Agreement, "Released Parties" means: "Defendants, and each of their present and former parent companies, subsidiaries, related or affiliated companies, shareholders, officers, directors, employees, owners, members, managers, co-joint ventures, fiduciaries, trustees, employee benefit plan administrators, agents, attorneys, insurers, successors and assigns, and all persons or entities acting by, through, under or in concert with any of them, and any individual or entity which could be jointly liable with Defendants for any of the Released Claims, including staffing agencies who hired, provided, or assigned Contract Recruiters to perform work at Google within the Class Period ('Released Staffing Agencies')." (Settlement § 18.)

connected transactions, occurrences, or nucleus of operative facts that form the basis of the class claims which were pled or which could have been pled based on the factual allegations contained in the Second Amended Complaint (the "Released Claims"). The Released Claims include, without limitation, any claims that, for their time working as Contract Recruiters from January 27, 2012 until June 20, 2017, Class Members (i) were not paid overtime for work performed at, for, or on behalf of Google; (ii) were not paid for all earned wages at the time of their separation from working at, for, or on behalf of Google; and (iii) did not receive accurate wage statements for work performed at, for, or on behalf of Google, pursuant to California Labor Code §§ 201, 202, 203, 226, 510, 1174 and 1194; California Business and Professions Code §§ 17200 et seq.; the Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Orders; and the California Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 ("PAGA"); and all derivative claims for pre-judgment and post-judgment interest, penalties, punitive damages, and attorneys' fees and costs.

- 12. Class Members do not release claims against the Released Parties that are unrelated to work Class Members performed as Contract Recruiters at Google from January 27, 2012 until June 20, 2017, nor do they release claims for retaliation or wrongful discharge.
- 13. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Court enters final judgment on the class action claims and PAGA claims asserted in Counts 1, 2, 3, 4, and 7 of the Second Amended Complaint in accordance with the terms of the Settlement and the Final Fairness Hearing Order. By virtue of this Order, all Class Members, including Plaintiffs, are permanently barred from prosecuting any of the Released Claims against any of the Released Parties.
- 14. Plaintiff Tymuoi Ha's individual claims against Defendants pursuant to California Labor Code § 1102.5 and California public policy (Counts 5 and 6 of the Second Amended Complaint) are not released or dismissed by this Order.
- 15. The Court shall retain jurisdiction over the interpretation and implementation of the Settlement Agreement as well as any and all matters arising out of, or related to, the interpretation, implementation, or enforcement of the Settlement Agreement and this Order.

1 2 3 4	16. IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that in compliance with California Rule of Court 3.711(b), a copy of this Order shall be posted by Class Counsel on a public webpage concerning this lawsuit on Sanford Heisler Sharp, LLP's website.
5	
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.
7	2-28:18
8	Honorable Brian C. Walsh
9	Judge of the Superior Court
10 11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26 27	
28	